UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,190	05/06/2005	Shuichi Kawasaki	1217-051112	5305	
	7590 06/06/2007 AW FIRM, P.C.		EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE			PATEL, ISHV	PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B	
PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2841		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		) H	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,190	KAWASAKI, SHUICHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOi ate, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
· <u> </u>	is action is non-final.	•	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ol>	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest s) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/534,190

Art Unit: 2841

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Feature A:

A1:

figure 1-3.

A2:

figure 5(a)

A3:

figure 5(b)

Feature B:

B1:

Figure 6(a)

B2:

Figure 6(b).

The correct specie will be A1B1, A1B2, A2B1, A2B2, A3b1, A3B2.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,190 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1 appears generic.
- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Each of the specie described above include a specific combination of structure different than that of others. Such as in specie A1B1, the special technical feature include a combination of the carrier with terminal (16) on two sides (figure 3) with the resist structure as shown in figure 6a. Similarly other species include different combination of the special technical feature.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 2841

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ishwar (I. B.) Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 1933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272 1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,190 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

May 7, 2007

Ishwar (I. B.) Patel Primary Examiner